

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)
v.)
FRANKLIN MCCORKLE) Case No: 3:96CR105-09
Date of Previous Judgment: April 11, 1997) USM No: 12617-058
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)) Tanzania C. Cannon-Eckerle
) Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 188 months **is reduced to** Time served plus 10 days .

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: 36 Amended Offense Level: 34
Criminal History Category: I Criminal History Category: I
Previous Guideline Range: 188 to 235 months Amended Guideline Range: 151 to 188 months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- Other (explain):

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Upon release from imprisonment, and absent a residential plan accepted by the U.S. Probation Officer prior to release from incarceration, it is ordered that as a condition of supervised release the defendant shall submit to the local Residential Reentry Center for a period not to exceed 90 days, with work release, at the direction of the U.S. Probation

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated April 11, 1997 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: September 2, 2008

1. *What is the primary purpose of the study?*

Frank D. Whitney